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Abstract

This paper provides an introduction to ACE™ Automated Circuit Extraction technology from Applied Wave Research, Inc. (AWR®). ACE™ is an innovative approach to avoid the over dependence of EM analysis as a design tool. The ACE™ software automates the modeling of complex interconnect structures defined as paths by assembling their equivalent electrical models on-the-fly using the very same network representation designers themselves would make use of if they had the time and patience to do so. The simulation of the equivalent circuit is done in a fraction of the time that it would take EM tools to create equivalent S-parameters. The speed, accuracy, and parametric nature of ACE™ software enable engineers to return to real design by exploring design alternatives and changes in seconds. Obviously, EM verification is still a necessary part of the flow, but the ACE™ tool enables engineers to design once again rather than analyze after the event, even on many of the most challenging RF and MW designs.

Introduction

Today’s traditional approach to RF and/or Microwave circuit design is being pressured simultaneously by an increase in operating frequencies and bandwidth and a decrease in physical footprint size. The result is that the physical design challenges faced by these designers are rapidly increasing, while choices for how these challenges should be best addressed are not. The drive to put more functionality into the same or smaller space is particularly demanding on the RF/Microwave design flow because of the increased and unavoidable need to model interconnects and their interactions. Ideally, modeling all of the interactions at the schematic level using distributed line, discontinuity, coupled-line models and vias is preferred. While there is nothing preventing an engineer from doing this, the complexity of modern wireless designs makes this an unrealistic and unreasonable approach at a schematic level, given that this manual process is incredibly time consuming and error prone. Figure 1 shows a very simple section of PCB multi-layer interconnects, minus the SMT components!
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**Figure 1**: Simple section of PCB multi-layer interconnect showing coupled line structure with bend discontinuities and via holes
Consequently, the engineer’s response has been to forego detailed schematic level design and go straight to analysis and verification as a weak, but faster, alternative after final layout. While this method saves engineering time by avoiding the manual insertion of various RF and Microwave line models, the trade-off is that the overall engineering time grows as the analysis and verification burden for using EM as a design tool has mushroomed. The reality has unfortunately become infinite “layout & EM analysis” cycles as lines are moved and vias replaced. This is all being done without any design engineering methodology, first principles insight, or a parametric handle into or behind the reasons for doing so.

This situation is all the more critical when considering that by the time a layout is complete enough to do this sort of “layout & EM analysis” cycle, the design is much closer to the end of its cycle than the beginning. Study after study on the engineering of complex systems, from spacecraft to software to electronics, shows that it is much more cost-effective to identify and fix design deficiencies as early as possible in the design cycle. However, doesn’t this mean that the improper use of EM as a design tool makes this a costly and risky proposition to many RF and Microwave design flows?

EDA developers are working to “catch-up” with the multitude of design challenges created by the exploding wireless communications market. This paper describes the new ACE™ technology and discusses real-world design examples where this new software approach provides an accurate, efficient, modern methodology as an alternative to traditional RF and Microwave design approaches that no longer meet the challenges of next-generation communications product design.

What is ACE™?

ACE™ Automated Circuit Extraction technology from Applied Wave Research, Inc. (AWR®) is an innovative response to the overuse of EM as a design tool. ACE™ software reclaims parametric design for the user by creating netlist-based representations of complex interconnects using the very same networks of parametric models designers themselves would use if they had the time and patience to do so, in a fraction of the time that it would take EM tools to create equivalent S-parameters. The speed, accuracy, and parametric nature of ACE™ software enable engineers to return to real design by exploring design alternatives and changes in seconds. Obviously, EM verification is still a necessary part of the flow, but the ACE™ tool enables engineers to design once again rather than analyze, even on many of the most challenging RF and MW designs.

ACE™ software is based on the proven digital and analog-mixed signal (AMS) technique of circuit extraction from physical layout, but uses microwave models and principles. The tool puts the engineer back into the driver’s seat of design by creating circuit models from layout geometries. The ACE™ tool, like all circuit extractors, creates a model for interconnects by geometrically analyzing a layout through breaking it down into pieces that the extractor understands, mapping each piece of the reduced geometry to a model, and then combining the models intelligently to create a simulatable representation. Digital and AMS extractors typically use RLCK models shown in Figure 2 to model interconnect-reduced geometries, but these require very dense networks at microwave frequencies to capture dispersion and skin-effect and they tend to be bandwidth limited.
ACE™ software, on the other hand, views the layout in terms of distributed line, coupled-line, and discontinuity models that microwave engineers have been using for years, such as MLIN/SLIN, MTEE/STEE, and M2CLIN/S2CLIN, and so dispersion, skin-effect, and bandwidth are non-issues. Moreover, vias can be modelled with S-parameter files from pre-defined via libraries, a fixed resistance or an AWR VIA model.

The ACE™ tool generates a detailed, extensive netlist shown in Figure 3 in seconds for complex arrangements of interconnects that engineers would prefer to make, but either don’t have the hours or days to do it or find it too error-prone. EM analysis would take days or even weeks for a single design iteration, provided the computer hardware didn’t crash.
ACE™ software goes even a few steps further than this. As part of the way in which it views the schematics, the same ground planes that are assumed for substrate definitions are found and simplified so that lines separated by a ground plane are not grouped together in a coupled line structure. Even with low temperature co-fired ceramic (LTCC) materials, where dielectric constant and thickness may vary, ACE™ software defines on-the-fly substrate definitions for the distributed models it extracts. Also, for lines that crossover on different layers not separated by a ground plane, a coupling capacitor is calculated based on geometry. To obtain the highest degree of accuracy, the ACE™ tool can even be directed to extract geometries to models, which themselves have highly optimized, built-in, EM solvers. In many cases, the software can provide accuracy that is similar to EM analysis, but is hundreds if not thousands of times faster for design tasks early and throughout the design flow.

The ACE™ technology uses all of the schematic level models designers would use to model complex interconnects if they had the time and the patience. For fast, efficient, and accurate answers it uses closed-form models such as MLIN and SLIN for lines, MTEE for t-junctions, and M2CLIN for coupled lines. Without sacrificing speed, AWR’s industry-leading X-models (EM table-based models with the accuracy of EM and the speed of closed-form models) can be used for discontinuities. For the most accurate answers, designers can direct the ACE™ tool to use models that have finite element method (FEM) solvers built right in with the model that are highly optimized to solve that particular geometry. GFMCLIN, for example, has an FEM solver inside, which turns the parametric descriptions into geometries solved by its FEM solver in a fraction of the time of generalized, three-dimensional (3D) FEM tools. Designers now have the choice to trade off the difference between speed and degree of accuracy when they chose to have ACE™ use method-of-moments (MoM) solvers -- faster than the FEM-based circuit models such as GFMCLIN and more accurate than the closed-form models such as M2CLIN.

**Example 1 – MMIC Distributed Amplifier**

A monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) distributed amplifier depends on the inter-stage interconnects to define its impedance and bandwidth characteristics. Figure 4 shows such a design using pseudomorphic high electron mobility transistor (PHEMT) technology initially modeling the interconnects with distributed MLIN, MBEND, MTEE, etc. models, but, for example, no coupling among adjacent arms of the meandered inter stage lines.

Using ACE™ software with a very large coupling distance specified yields greater bandwidth, but at the expense of gain flatness; the culprit is the couplings, shown in extracted schematic form in Figure 5.
Figure 4: Distributed amplifier with ACE™ to extract distributed and discontinuity models with no interconnect couplings. Extraction time is ~ 0.5 seconds for DC to 12GHz

Figure 5: ACE™ software with large coupling distance showing performance degradation. Extraction time is approximately 1 second for DC to 12GHz
By reducing the ACE™ coupling distance, it can be seen that majority of the detrimental coupling is due to the adjacent arms of the meandered interconnects highlighted in Figure 6.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6:** Reduced ACE™ coupling radius (bold traces, left) reveals overall performance degradation (gray traces, left) mainly due to adjacent couplings (red circles, right)

In a matter of minutes, the ACE™ technology empowers the designer to identify, pinpoint, and redesign the circuit as appropriate, whereas iterating cycles with layout and EM analysis would likely take the better part of a day or days.

**RF-Style Interconnects: iNets®**

In the above example, the interconnects and meander lines were drawn from a microwave engineers point of view – explicit MLIN’s, t-junctions and MBENDS. With just a little more effort, the parallel segments could easily be changed to coupled-line models and similar results obtained without the need for ACE™. To extort the real benefit, AWR have introduced iNets® technology – intelligent nets. This technology gives the designer the ability to draw a simple short circuit interconnect in the electrical schematic diagram and then in the layout, route that interconnect with the internal knowledge of the technology being used. For example, on a four layer PCB, the five possible locations of the track along with the customer specific via hole options are offered during the routing process. These are all DRC correct against the given technology (GaAs, LTCC, Duroid, PCB, Si, and SiGe) and result in a manufacturable interconnect in the layout world. Using ACE™, this physical layout can now produce an advanced netlist, which when combined with the schematic electrical design of real components, provides incredibly accurate simulation results.

To show iNets® on a simple design, I refer to the RLC schematic in Figure 7. The graph shows the expected RLC response of the grey trace when the ACE™ extraction is disabled, and the ripple and loss in blue as expected from the long meandered iNets® drawn in the layout. These iNets® viewed in 3D, show that the immense detail required for manufacture can easily be produced with an appropriate technology file, and a few mouse clicks to route the interconnect.
Example 2 – MMIC Spiral Coupling

Making use of iNets® coupled with ACE™ extraction technology, the design cycle for complex Microwave designs reduces from hours to minutes. Two identical designs, shown below in Figure 8, have close to identical layout – trace chamfered corners being the major difference. Explicit microwave circuit element design has now been replaced with the much simpler RF approach in terms of schematic circuit level entry coupled with iNets® technology producing an identical layout. Once extracted through ACE™, the simulation results are incredibly similar as per Figure 9 but with the benefit in a hugely reduced design time.

ACE™ can be pushed yet further with designs that now include previously un-simulated reactions. In the same single stage amplifier design, we add a second spiral inductor into the schematic diagram using iNets® which is completely isolated from the original circuit in the electrical schematic. With ACE™ extraction in Figure 10, cross coupling due to the physical layout and spiral proximity now perturbs the original simulation results allowing the design engineer to ensure this effect is accounted for during the very first design cycle at the simulation stage before costly prototyping.
Figure 8: Identical circuits with very similar layout – one taking minutes, the other hours

Figure 9: Simulation accuracy using traditional design versus ACE™ Extraction
Example 3 –Printed Circuit Board (PCB) Design

In this final example, ACE™ capabilities are applied to a complex, 16-layer PCB application shown in Figure 11 early in the design flow in order to efficiently and accurately design the RF transmit signal path on the top side of the board alongside digital control on the bottom side and ground planes in between layers. All in all, at this stage of the design, the 16-layer board has 168 nets and therefore 336 ports, dozens of vias – some blind, some buried and some though vias and yet a simple schematic circuit with the routes being generated in the layout world.

Analyzing this large a design with a 3D planar solver is a time-consuming task because of the time required to create the Green’s function for the multiple, non-uniform layers. 3D FEM techniques would also take a long time -- even longer because of the amount of metal. Even 3D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) software products would suffer due to the high port count. Using ACE™ with this design provides an answer in a little over one minute when modeling all the coupled lines with the GMCLIN MOM models.
In the extracted 3D view shown in Figure 11, the ACE™ tool decomposes parallel line segments into coupled-line models such that as nets that have some segment or trace become parallel or stop being parallel with other nets’ segments, the software inserts a new coupled-line model in series with the original, with the difference between the two representing one more or one fewer coupled lines. The ACE™ software is capable of creating very dense networks of interconnects in this way by finding units, or portions, of the layout that can be grouped together based on the extensive library of models in the AWR design environment. These are the very same models that designers have been relying upon for nearly a decade to design RF/microwave circuits up to and beyond 100GHz.

This coupling can be across the same layer, or through multiple layers, assuming they are not separated by a ground plane. The equivalent substrate definition is calculated depending on the board stack, and can be different in different areas of the board. Coupling can easily be seen in Figure 11 both across the same layer on the left and between layers towards the centre of the 3D view. Overlapping traces are modeled as capacitance shown on the top right area of the 3D view. Finally, via hole modeling is chosen by the designer, and can be a simple resistance, an s-parameter file or a more complex AWR VIA schematic model. This design generates an equivalent netlist that is some 2000 nodes long, and is extracted and simulated in under 90 seconds. Real time physical movement of lines, and resultant changes to the design are perfectly feasible.
True EM Verification

The same geometries that were sent to an EM solver in the past can now be sent to ACETM. Throughout the design flow, ACETM software can be used, in conjunction with EM-based discontinuity and coupled line models, to design and refine. The very same geometries that are sent to the ACETM tool during design can then be sent, capacity permitting, to any one of the EM solvers (EMSight, CST, Flomerics, Sonnet, or Zeland) integrated into AWR’s EM SocketTM II tool for verification. This includes AxiEMTM - the new 3D Planar Method of Moments EM solver from AWR which works on conformal adaptive mesh algorithms. A simple interconnect from Example 1 of this paper has results from ACETM and EMSight in Figure 12.

Figure 12: Comparison of ACETM extraction against a 3D Planar MOM EM solver - EMSight

Conclusion

Engineering design in recent years has become over-reliant on EM analysis and verification because RF/Microwave design tools have not kept pace with the challenges of next-generation design. The features and benefits of the ground-breaking new ACETM automated circuit extraction technology have been showcased in this paper and examples of ways in which users can employ this technology to streamline and optimize their design flows have been presented. ACETM has been shown here to provide comparable accuracy at 100x to 1000x speed improvement over generalized EM solvers when used during design and analysis. AWR has a rich tradition of leveraging its considerable experience in microwave design in order to provide innovative EDA solutions that dramatically improve design productivity and reduce product development costs for communications applications. The company has used its microwave expertise to deliver the ACETM product by migrating the benefits of digital/analog circuit extraction technology into useful software for high-frequency design. The new software brings to the RF/Microwave world the ability to model complex interconnects quickly and accurately and, most importantly, to perform accurate and timely simulation and analysis early in the design cycle before time-consuming design respins and costly hardware adjustments are necessary. Users of ACETM software can be confident that this new technology will enable them to develop products more quickly and confidently, helping them take advantage of ever-smaller market windows.